# Productivity and Carbon Dioxide Exchange of Leguminous Crops: Estimates from Flux Tower Measurements

Tagir G. Gilmanov,\* John M. Baker, Carl J. Bernacchi, David P. Billesbach, George G. Burba, Saulo Castro,
 Jiquan Chen, Werner Eugster, Marc L. Fischer, John A. Gamon, Maheteme T. Gebremedhin, Aaron J. Glenn,
 Timothy J. Griffis, Jerry L. Hatfield, Mark W. Heuer, Daniel M. Howard, Monique Y. Leclerc,
 Henry W. Loescher, Oliver Marloie, Tilden P. Meyers, Albert Olioso, Rebecca L. Phillips, John H. Prueger,
 R. Howard Skinner, Andrew E. Suyker, Mario Tenuta, and Bruce K. Wylie

## ABSTRACT

Net  $CO_2$  exchange data of legume crops at 17 flux tower sites in North America and three sites in Europe representing 29 site-years of measurements were partitioned into gross photosynthesis and ecosystem respiration by using the nonrectangular hyperbolic light-response function method. The analyses produced net  $CO_2$  exchange data and new ecosystem-scale ecophysiological parameter estimates for legume crops determined at diurnal and weekly time steps. Dynamics and annual totals of gross photosynthesis, ecosystem respiration, and net ecosystem production were calculated by gap filling with multivariate nonlinear regression. Comparison with the data from grain crops obtained with the same method demonstrated that  $CO_2$  exchange rates and ecophysiological parameters of legumes were lower than those of maize (*Zea mays* L.) but higher than for wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crops. Year-round annual legume crops demonstrated a broad range of net ecosystem production, from sinks of 760 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> to sources of -2100 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, with an average of -330 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, indicating overall moderate  $CO_2$ -source activity related to a shorter period of photosynthetic uptake and metabolic costs of N<sub>2</sub> fixation. Perennial legumes (alfalfa, *Medicago sativa* L.) were strong sinks for atmospheric  $CO_2$ , with

Published in Agron. J. 106:545–559 (2014) doi:10.2134/agronj2013.0270 Copyright © 2014 by the American Society of Agronomy, 5585 Guilford Road, Madison, WI 53711. All rights reserved. No part of this periodical may be reproduced or transmitted in any

form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.



Fig. I. Flux-tower sites in legume crops and Omernik Level 3 ecoregions of North America.

Legume crops (mostly soybean, alfalfa, and peanut [Arachis hypogaea L.]) occupy >20% of the cultivated land of the United States, playing a significant role in shaping the C balance of North America. A number of site-specific studies analyzing CO2-exchange processes and their C budget implications for legume crops of the region have been published (Baldocchi et al., 1981a, 1981b, 1983; Hollinger et al., 2005; Baker and Griffis, 2005; Verma et al., 2005; Bernacchi et al., 2005, 2006; Fischer et al., 2007; Glenn et al., 2010; Pingintha et al., 2010; Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2011; Gebremedhin et al., 2012). In these studies, including legume crops with different physiology and agronomy, annuals and perennials, the researchers used various methods based on either direct integration of net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange data or various models for C budget components. Nevertheless, the productivity, respiration, and ecophysiological parameters of legume crops derived from flux-tower measurements have not

yet been subjected to comparative analysis and synthesis. We present a unified approach based on partitioning of the fluxtower data into photosynthesis and respiration components by using a standardized method based on the nonrectangular hyperbolic model (Thornley and Johnson, 2000) to obtain new measurement-based estimates of  $CO_2$  exchange of leguminous crops and facilitate comparability of the results from different sites and crops. The same technique was recently applied to cereals of midcontinent North America as the major agricultural crops (Gilmanov et al., 2013), and the present study expands the analysis to legumes, as the second significant crop type of the region. Quantification of the  $CO_2$  exchange and ecophysiological parameters presented here will provide agriculturalists with valuable information to optimize the economic and sustainability aspects of the production of various leguminous crops.

	Partitioning method#			Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	о-т <sub>s</sub>	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub>	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub>	Q-T <sub>s</sub>	Q-T <sub>a</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub>	Q-T <sub>s</sub>	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub> -VPD	Q-T <sub>s</sub>	Q-T <sub>s</sub>	Q-T <sub>s</sub>	
	Principal investigator	D		J.A. Gamon	M. Tenuta	W. Eugster	R.L. Phillips	J.M. Baker	J.M. Baker	J.M. Baker	O. Marloie	T.P. Meyers	J. Chen	J.H. Prueger	R. Matamala	R. Matamala	J.H. Prueger	A.E. Suyker	A.E. Suyker	A.E. Suyker	R.H. Skinner	V. Magliulo	T.P. Meyers	T.P. Meyers	T.P. Meyers	T.P. Meyers	T.P. Meyers	M.Torn	M.T. Gebremedhin	M.T. Gebremedhin	M.T. Gebremedhin	Mlaclarc
	Tsum5¶	= .	PO	I 545	1887	9661	2088	2288	2288	2548	3476	2999	2496	2538	2940	2429	2688	2958	2919	2911	2383	3933	3290	3051	3063	3046	2887	4385	4806	4312	4381	4386
Thermal	growing season§	-	D	189	192	238	206	219	219	214	298	239	233	212	231	226	225	234	248	231	239	356	266	249	244	266	262	313	314	307	307	373
	PCPN‡	-   -	mm yr	381	463	1142	605	766	766	669	491	336	1156	1179	1166	1162	718	544	670	83 I	1193	787	930	582	704	0101	1025	486	567	1310	1383	1765
	T <sub>2ir</sub> †	all.	ò	4.18	2.88	8.39	5.34	7.31	7.31	9.20	14.01	10.47	10.05	7.78	9.92	8.02	9.01	10.71	10.35	10.55	8.87	16.31	12.55	10.71	11.65	11.31	11.83	16.46	17.60	16.20	16.78	16 77
	Year			2010	2007	2010	2010	2004	2004	2006	2005	2012	2006	2008	2007	2009	2003	2002	2004	2002	2003	2002-2003	1998	2000	2002	2004	2006	2006	2007	2008	2009	2005
	Elevation		E	650	235	452	592	260	260	260	31	386	286	313	225	225	300	363	363	362	346	20	300	300	300	300	300	314	161	161	161	177
	Longitude	D		-113.5524	-97.1579	7.7338	-100.9516	-93.0893	-93.0898	-93.0898	4.8785	-96.9020	-85.3757	-93.6914	-88.2227	-88.2227	-93.41	-96.4396	-96.4396	-96.4701	-77.8406	14.9574	-88.2919	-88.2919	-88.2919	-88.2919	-88.2919	-97.4888	-86.5672	-86.5672	-86.5672	-83 6770
	Latitude			53.4964	49.6453	47.2865	46.7753	44.7217	44.7143	44.7143	43.9165	43.2408	42.4099	41.9749	41.8593	41.8593	41.72	41.1797	41.1797	41.1649	40.8632	40.5238	40.0061	40.0061	40.0061	40.0061	40.0061	36.6058	34.8943	34.8943	34.8943	37 1308
	Crop	-		alfalfa	faba bean	pea	alfalfa	soybean/rye	soybean	soybean	pea	soybean	alfalfa	soybean	soybean	soybean	soybean	soybean	soybean	soybean	alfalfa	alfalfa	soybean	soybean	soybean	soybean	soybean	soybean	soybean/winter wheat	soybean/winter wheat	soybean/winter wheat	Deanit
	Site			Edmonton, AB, Canada	Trace Gas Manitoba, MB, Canada	Oensingen-2, Switzerland	Mandan H5, North Dakota	Rosemount alternative, Minnesota	Rosemount conventional, Minnesota	Rosemount conventional, Minnesota	Avignon, France	Lennox, SD	Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan	Brooks Field-10, Iowa	Fermi agricultural site, Illinois	Fermi agricultural site, Illinois	Ames, IA	Mead rainfed, Nebraska	Mead rainfed, Nebraska	Mead irrigated rotation, Nebraska	Haller, PA	Borgo Cioffi, Italy	Bondville, IL	Bondville, IL	Bondville, IL	Bondville, IL	Bondville, IL	Lamont ARM main, Oklahoma††	Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	Vianna GA
	No			_	7	m	4	ъ	9	7	8	6	0	Ξ	12	13	4	15	16	17	8	61	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29

# Annual precipitation.

§ Number of days with mean daily air temperature ≥5°C. ¶ Tsum5 = ∑<sub>365</sub><sup>365</sup> (mode) (T<sup>m</sup><sub>att</sub> (t) - 5]). # Q-T<sub>s</sub> method takes into account combined effects of light and soil temperature (Eq. [2]); Q-T<sub>s</sub><sup>-</sup>VPD method takes into account combined effects of light, soil temperature, and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) (Eq. [3-4]); Q-T<sub>a</sub><sup>-</sup>VPD method takes into account combined effects of light, soil temperature, and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) (Eq. [3-4]); Q-T<sub>a</sub><sup>-</sup>VPD method takes into account combined effects of light, air temperature, and vapor pressure deficit. †† Example of a low-production crop year: due to an unfavorable water regime, the bean yield was only 5 bushels acre<sup>-1</sup> = 0.34 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 1. Eddy covariance tower sites used in the study.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

We have compiled a data set of year-round net  $CO_2$  exchange measurements at flux-tower stations in legume fields covering a geographic range from Alberta, Canada, to Georgia and from Oklahoma to Pennsylvania to quantitatively compare gross photosynthesis, ecosystem respiration, and ecophysiological parameters of legume crops in North America (Fig. 1). For comparison, we have also included measurements from three existing European flux towers on legume crops. The data set includes flux towers in all major legume crops: 20 site-yr of soybean; 5 site-yr of alfalfa; 2 site-yr of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.); 1 site-yr of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.); and 1 site-yr of peanut (Table 1).

Flux towers in the data set covered a wide range of climatic conditions, with mean annual temperatures from 2.9 to 17.6°C, annual precipitation totals from 336 to 1380 mm, growing seasons (5°C) from 189 to 356 d, and the sum of daily temperatures >5°C from 1545 to 4806 degree days (Table 1). All sites in the data set had eddy-covariance instrumentation, with measurements following the Ameriflux/CarboEurope/Fluxnet protocol (Meyers and Hollinger, 2004; Aubinet et al., 2012), except for the Trace Gas Manitoba site, where the flux gradient micrometeorological technique was used (Glenn et al., 2010).

# Partitioning of Net Carbon Dioxide Flux Data into Photosynthesis and Respiration

Using ecophysiological sign convention, where  $CO_2$  flux from the atmosphere to the ecosystem is positive, gross photosynthesis,  $P_g$ , and total ecosystem respiration,  $R_e$ , are considered as process rates, combined in the conservation equation with signs corresponding to their contribution to the respective processes. In non-forest terrestrial ecosystems with insignificant canopy  $CO_2$  storage (Loescher et al., 2006), the net  $CO_2$  flux,  $F(\text{mg }CO_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ , provided by fluxtower measurements represents the difference between gross photosynthesis and ecosystem respiration:

$$F = P_{\rm g} - R_{\rm c} \tag{1}$$

In general, factors controlling photosynthesis and respiration at the crop level are not the same (although they overlap), and the patterns of their response to a given factor are not identical (Thornley and Johnson, 2000). Therefore, decomposition of the F data into photosynthesis and respiration (Eq. [1]) is recognized as an essential part of flux-tower data processing. While in the earlier period of flux data analysis partitioning was usually based on estimation of daytime respiration from nighttime fluxes, more recently derivation of daytime respiration from daytime measurements has become the dominant approach (Gilmanov et al., 2003, 2013; Reichstein et al., 2005; Lasslop et al., 2010). Analysis of tower CO<sub>2</sub> exchange data from a wide range of grassland and crop ecosystems demonstrated that the modified nonrectangular hyperbolic equation provides a robust and flexible tool for partitioning of the eddy-covariance net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange data into gross photosynthesis and ecosystem respiration components (Stoy et al., 2006). In temperate and humid climates, the partitioning equation in the form (Gilmanov et al., 2007)

$$F(Q,T) = \frac{1}{2\theta} [\alpha Q + A_{\max} -\sqrt{(\alpha Q + A_{\max})^2 - 4\alpha A_{\max} \theta Q}]$$

$$-r_0 \exp(k_T T_s)$$
[2]

may be used, where Q is photosynthetically active radiation, T is the temperature,  $\alpha$  is the initial slope (apparent quantum yield),  $A_{\max}$  is the plateau (photosynthetic capacity) of the light response,  $\theta$  is the convexity parameter (Thornley and Johnson, 2000), and  $r_0$ and  $k_T$  are the coefficients of the exponential temperature response  $[r_0 = R_e(0)]$ . Under conditions of pronounced water stress, partitioning based on Eq. [2] may lead to overestimation of the daytime respiration and gross photosynthesis because reduction of daytime flux under water stress may not only occur due to the increase in temperature but may also reflect the decrease in photosynthesis due to stomatal regulation (Gilmanov et al., 2010; Pingintha et al., 2010). In such cases, a modification of Eq. [2] is used (Gilmanov et al., 2013):

$$F(Q, T_{s}, \text{VPD}) = \frac{\varphi(\text{VPD})}{2\theta} [\alpha Q + A_{\text{max}} -\sqrt{(\alpha Q + A_{\text{max}})^{2} - 4\alpha A_{\text{max}}\theta Q}]$$

$$-T_{0} \exp(k_{T}T_{s})$$
[3]

$$\varphi(\text{VPD}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{VPD} \le \text{VPD}_{\text{cr}} \\ \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\text{VPD} - \text{VPD}_{\text{cr}}}{\sigma_{\text{VPD}}}\right)^2\right], & \text{VPD} > \text{VPD}_{\text{cr}} \end{cases}$$
[4]

where  $T_s$  is soil temperature, and the normalized vapor pressure deficit (VPD) response function  $\varphi$ (VPD) depends on two parameters: the critical VPD value, VPD<sub>cr</sub>, below which water deficit doesn't affect photosynthesis ( $\varphi = 1$  for VPD  $\leq$  VPD<sub>cr</sub>) and the curvature parameter,  $\sigma_{\rm VPD}$  ( $1 \leq \sigma_{\rm VPD} \leq 30$ ), with lower values describing a strong water-stress effect and higher values indicating a weak effect (Gilmanov et al., 2013). Although, generally speaking, the values of the VPD<sub>cr</sub> parameter may vary among crops and ecosystems, following El-Sharkawy et al. (1984), Lasslop et al. (2010), and Pingintha et al. (2010), we accepted a critical value VPD<sub>cr</sub> = 1 kPa and considered a one-parameter VPD response function in the form

$$\varphi(\text{VPD}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{VPD} \le 1 \\ \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\text{VPD}-1}{\sigma_{\text{VPD}}}\right)^2\right], & \text{VPD} > 1 \end{cases}$$
[5]

## **Estimation of the Parameters**

The parameter estimation and gap-filling methods used in this study followed procedures in the parallel study for the grain crops (Gilmanov et al., 2013), where they were described in detail. The parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $A_{\max}$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $r_0$ ,  $k_T$  and  $\sigma_{\text{VPD}}$  (when



Fig. 2. Light–soil temperature–vapor pressure deficit (VPD) response functions for legume crops at several sites during the period of active photosynthesis: (A) faba bean, Manitoba, Canada; (B) soybean, Minnesota; (C) alfalfa, Michigan; (D) soybean, Iowa; (E) soybean, Illinois-1; (F) soybean, Nebraska; (G) alfalfa, Pennsylvania; and (H) soybean, Illinois-2. On each panel, the left graph shows a simple light-response plot and a fitted light curve, the light–soil temperature–VPD response is shown on the right (light–soil temperature response for G).

necessary) of the functions Eq. [2–5] were numerically estimated for every day of the growing season using available  $Q, T_s$ , VPD, and F data. Using the optimization tools of the Mathematica system (Wolfram Research), for every day's { $Q(i), T_s(i), VPD(i), F(i), i = 1, 2, ..., n$ } data set of  $n \leq 48$  records with a 30-min time step, we identified best-fit parameter values { $\alpha, A_{max}, \theta, \sigma_{VPD}, r_0, k_T$ } of Eq. [2–5]. The series of graphs in Fig. 2 (specifications in Table 2) show examples of the response functions for several sites for the period of active photosynthesis. The data in Tables 3 and 4 illustrate parameter estimates using the models of Eq. [2] and [3–5], respectively. The rate of ecosystem respiration,  $r_e \text{ (mg CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ , was described by an exponential function of soil temperature:

$$I_{\rm e}^{\rm c}(T_{\rm s}) = I_{\rm 0} \exp\left(k_T T_{\rm s}\right)$$
[6]

where, during the growing season, parameters  $r_0 = r_e(0)$ and  $k_T$  were estimated by fitting Eq. [2] or [3] to 30-min  $\{F,Q,T_s,NPD\}$  data for individual measurement days, while outside the period of photosynthetic activity,  $r_0$  and  $k_T$  were estimated by fitting Eq. [6] to pooled 30-min  $\{F,T_s\}$  data for moving (typically, 9-d-wide) windows centered at the day of measurements.

# Vapor Pressure Deficit Limitation of Photosynthesis

The significance of the VPD as a factor controlling the net CO<sub>2</sub> flux may be determined by consideration of the whole array of estimated curvature parameter  $\sigma_{\rm VPD}$  values for a given site-year. The  $\sigma_{\rm VPD}$  values typically lie in the interval from 2 to 30 kPa, the lower range characterizing a strong VPD effect (rapid decrease of *F* with VPD increasing to values >>1 kPa), while higher values of  $\sigma_{\rm VPD}$  describe functions  $\varphi(\rm VPD)$  that decrease only gradually with increasing aerial drought. A detailed characteristic of the VPD limitation of crop photosynthesis may be provided by a graph of the cumulative distribution of the number of days with curvature coefficient  $\sigma_{\rm VPD} \leq \sigma$  (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, in comparing ecosystems, a simpler parameter may be used, e.g., the number of days for which  $\sigma_{\rm VPD} \leq 4$  kPa and the maximum vapor pressure deficit VPD<sub>max</sub>  $\geq 1$  kPa( $D_{\rm VPD}$ ).

# Gap Filling and Calculation of Annual Totals of Production and Respiration

Estimates of half-hourly rates of gross photosynthesis,  $P_{\rm g}$ , and ecosystem respiration,  $R_{\rm e}$ , for days with missing flux data were obtained by use of the assimilation and respiration terms of Eq. [2] or [3], respectively, with parameter estimates for the missing calendar days obtained by smooth interpolation across the data set of available parameters { $\alpha(t_j)$ ,  $A_{\max}(t_j)$ ,  $\theta(t_j)$ ,  $r_0(t_j)$ ,  $k_T(t_j)$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm VPD}(t_j)$ . Daily totals of gross photosynthesis,  $P_{\rm g}(t)$  (g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), daytime ecosystem respiration,  $R_{\rm day}(t)$ 

(g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> [light period]<sup>-1</sup>), and nighttime ecosystem respiration,  $R_{\text{night}}(t)$  (g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> [light period])<sup>-1</sup>), for calendar days t = 1, 2, ..., 365 were obtained by numerical integration of half-hourly rates over corresponding periods of the day. The total 24-h ecosystem respiration  $R_{\text{e}}(t)$  (g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and the net 24-h ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange, F(t), were calculated as

$$R_{\rm e}(t) = R_{\rm day}(t) + R_{\rm night}(t)$$
[7]

$$F(t) = P_{g}(t) - R_{c}(t)$$
[8]

Finally, the annual totals of gross primary production (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (RE) were calculated as annual sums:

$$GPP = \sum_{t=1}^{365} P_{g}(t)$$
 [9]

and

$$RE = \sum_{t=1}^{365} R_{e}(t)$$
 [10]

while the annual net ecosystem production (NEP) was obtained as

$$NEP = GPP - RE$$
[11]

Table 2. Specifications for graphs in Fig. 2.

Symbol	Site	Crop	Year	Day of the year
А	Trace Gas Manitoba, MB, Canada	faba bean	2007	201
В	Rosemount conventional, Minnesota	soybean	2006	184
С	Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan	alfalfa	2006	182
D	Brooks Field 10, Iowa	soybean	2008	216
Е	Fermi agricultural site, Illinois	soybean	2007	182
F	Mead rainfed, Nebraska	soybean	2002	223
G	Haller, PA	alfalfa	2003	179
Н	Bondville, IL	soybean	2002	215

Table 3. Numerical values and the goodness-of-fit characteristics of the parameters apparent quantum yield ( $\alpha$ ), photosynthetic capacity ( $A_{max}$ ), convexity of the light response ( $\theta$  ratio), respiration rate at zero temperature ( $r_0$ ), and the exponential temperature coefficient ( $k_T$ ) in Eq. [2] for Day of the Year 179 at the Haller alfalfa site, 2003.

Parameter	α	A <sub>max</sub>	$\theta$ ratio	r <sub>0</sub>	k <sub>T</sub>
	mg CO <sub>2</sub> μmol <sup>−l</sup>	mg CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		mg CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	°C-I
Value	0.00134	1.541	$2.567 \times$ 10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.0964	0.0688
SE	0.00038	0.142	0.0938	0.0707	0.0301
t value	3.554	10.85	$2.736 \times$ 10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.364	2.286
p value	0.0017	$1.6  imes 10^{-10}$	1.0	0.186	0.032

Table 4. Numerical values and the goodness-of-fit characteristics of the parameters apparent quantum yield ( $\alpha$ ), photosynthetic capacity ( $A_{max}$ ), convexity of the light response ( $\theta$  ratio), respiration rate at zero temperature ( $r_0$ ), the exponential temperature coefficient ( $k_T$ ), and the curvature parameter of the vapor pressure deficit response ( $\sigma_{VPD}$ ) of Eq. [3–4] for Day of the Year 223 at the Mead rainfed soybean site, 2002.

Parameter	α	A <sub>max</sub>	$\theta$ ratio	r <sub>0</sub>	k <sub>T</sub>	
	mg CO <sub>2</sub> μmol <sup>−l</sup>	mg CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		mg CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	°C-I	kPa
Value	0.00192	1.748	0.718	0.108	0.0404	3.246
SE	0.00013	0.097	0.107	0.027	0.0098	0.114
t value	14.90	18.09	6.72	3.98	4.2	28.47
p value	0	0	3.69  imes 10 <sup>-8</sup>	$2.68 imes10^{-4}$	$1.74  imes 10^{-4}$	0



Fig. 3. Cumulative distribution functions of the daily vapor pressure deficit (VPD) response curvature parameter values,  $\sigma_{VPD}$ , for: (A) a faba bean crop at the Trace Gas Manitoba site, 2007; and (B) a soybean crop at the Bondville site, 2002. The dashed arrows illustrate determination of the  $D_{VPD}$  parameter as the number of days when the curvature parameter of the VPD-dependent factor (Eq. [5]) was less than or equal to the critical value  $\sigma_{VPD}$  = 4 kPa.

We found that for comparative purposes, it was useful to introduce additional parameters of average daytime respiration rate,  $r_{day}(t)$ , and average nighttime respiration rate,  $r_{night}(t)$ , calculated as

$$I_{day}(t) = \frac{1000 R_{day}(t)}{\tau_2(t) - \tau_1(t)}$$
[12]

$$T_{\text{night}}(t) = \frac{1000 R_{\text{night}}(t)}{86,400 - [\tau_2(t) - \tau_1(t)]}$$
[13]

where  $\tau_1(t)$  and  $\tau_2(t)$  are the moments of sunrise and sunset for the *t*th calendar day respectively (measured in seconds to obtain  $r_{day}$  and  $r_{night}$  in milligrams of CO<sub>2</sub> per square meter per second if  $R_{day}$  is in grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per square meter per light period and  $R_{night}$  is in grams of CO<sub>2</sub> per square meter per dark period).

#### **Photosynthetic Light-Use Efficiency**

Light-use efficiency characteristics are often used as important tools of comparative ecological analysis. From a number of coefficients suggested for this purpose, we used the coefficient of gross photosynthetic light-use efficiency, LUE, defined as a ratio of daily gross photosynthesis  $P_g$  to daily incoming of photosynthetically active radiation Q' (Cooper, 1970; Gilmanov et al., 2005):

$$LUE = \frac{P_{g}}{Q}$$
[14]

While the coefficient of apparent quantum yield (initial slope of the light response),  $\alpha$ , characterizes the potential physiological light-use efficiency, LUE is a measure of ecologically realized photosynthetic productivity, making comparison of the  $\alpha$  and LUE values an important tool of comparative ecological analysis.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The modified nonrectangular hyperbolic model with VPD limitation in the form of Eq. [3-5] proved to be an adequate tool for describing tower-based net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange measurements in legume crops and partitioning them into photosynthesis and respiration components. Figure 2 illustrates application of the

model: Fig. 2A–2F and 2H show models with VPD limitation (Eq. [3–5]), while Fig. 2G illustrates the model driven only by the light and soil temperature factors (Eq. [2]). The left graph on each panel shows a simple light-response scatterplot fitted by nonrectangular hyperbolae F(Q) with daytime respiration constant during the day; the surface on the right panel shows the ( $Q, T_s$ ) response described by Eq. [3–5] with the average daily VPD value; the blue dots at the right show the original measured F values and the red dots show actual model predicted  $F(Q, T_s, VPD)$  accounting for VPD variability.

To illustrate parameter estimation procedures, Table 3 shows estimated values and the goodness-of-fit characteristics for the model of Eq. [2] fitted for Day 179 of the 2003 season at the Haller alfalfa site (Fig. 2G) when no substantial VPD limitation was observed (mean daily VPD = 1.0 kPa, VPD<sub>max</sub> = 2.1 kPa). The model shows a significant temperature-response coefficient  $k_T$  = 0.069 °C<sup>-1</sup>, with the value close to van't Hoff's  $Q_{10}$  = 2.0. For this case, the close-to-zero estimate of the convexity parameter  $\theta$  should also be noted, indicating that the light response for this day may apparently be described by a rectangular hyperbola, characterized by  $\theta$  = 0.

The data in Table 4 illustrate the parameters of Eq. [3–5] fitted for Day 223 of 2002 at the Mead soybean site. As can be seen on the light-response diagram of Fig. 2F, this day at this site was marked by a substantial decrease in net  $CO_2$  uptake (VPD reached 3.4 kPa in the afternoon hours), resulting in a hysteresis-like loop on the (Q,F) diagram. The model reflects this fact by generating highly significant (high t values) estimates of all the parameters, including the parameters of temperature response,  $r_0$  and  $k_T$  and VPD limitation,  $\sigma_{VPD}$  (Table 4).

Ecosystem-scale ecophysiological parameters generated by the partitioning method described above exhibited pronounced seasonal dynamics, which reflects physiological and phenological changes of the biota in the field, as well as changes in biomass and leaf area characteristics. To facilitate comparison of parameters among sites and years, we found it useful to calculate weekly means and the errors of the means for each site-year. The data in Fig. 4 provide an example of seasonal patterns of parameters at the weekly time scale, demonstrated by the soybean field at the Fermi agricultural site in 2007.

Maximum daily and maximum mean weekly values of the ecophysiological parameters of legume crops for the site-years of



Fig. 4. Seasonal dynamics of major ecophysiological parameters of the soybean crops at the Fermi agricultural site, 2007: (A) apparent quantum yield,  $\alpha$ ; (B) photosynthetic capacity,  $A_{max}$ ; (C) gross photosynthetic light-use efficiency, LUE; and (D) daytime ecosystem respiration rate,  $r_{day}$ . Dots show weekly means of the parameters and the error bars show standard errors of the mean.

this study are summarized in Table 5. These data illustrate the magnitudes and variability of the parameters among leguminous crops as well as for different years at the same crop site. They may also be compared with corresponding parameters for grain crops. The maximum mean weekly apparent quantum yield for legumes, 47 to 48 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup> achieved for the alfalfa crop at the Kellogg Biological Station in 2006 and Mandan in 2010, is less than the value of 50.30 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup> estimated for a maize crop at the Bondville site in 2003 but higher than 37.23 mmol mol<sup>-1</sup> recorded for the hard red spring wheat field at the Trace Gas Manitoba site in 2008 (Gilmanov et al., 2013). Our estimate of the maximum daily photosynthetic capacity,  $A_{\rm max} = 2.3 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , for alfalfa at the Haller site compares well with the estimate of  $A_{\rm max}$  = 2.4 mg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for alfalfa reported by Asseng and Hsiao (2000) using the BREB/CO<sub>2</sub> gradient technique. The maximum mean weekly  $A_{\text{max,wk}} = 2.35 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for legumes recorded at the soybean field of the maize-soybean rotation at Bondville in 2004 is smaller than 3.63 mg  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> estimated for the irrigated maize crop of the same rotation at the Mead site in 2003 but higher than 2.02 mg  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> found for the spring wheat crop from the Trace Gas Manitoba site in 2008.

The maximum mean weekly respiration rate of 0.48 mg  $\rm CO_2~m^{-2}~s^{-1}$  registered in the pea field of the Oensingen site

in 2010 is lower than 0.63 mg  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> measured in the maize field at the Fermi agricultural site in 2006 but higher than the 0.27 mg  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> estimated for the winter wheat crop of the Ponca City site in 1999 (Gilmanov et al., 2013).

For the peanut crop at the Vienna site with LAI<sub>max</sub> =  $3.8 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ , we estimated  $\alpha_{\text{max,wk}} = 28.4 \text{ mmol mol}^{-1}$ ,  $A_{\text{max,wk}} = 1.88 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and  $r_{\text{day,max,wk}} = 0.23 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . This compares well with the values  $\alpha_{\text{max,wk}} = 43.8 \text{ mmol mol}^{-1}$ ,  $A_{\text{max,wk}} = 2.75 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and  $r_{\text{day,max,wk}} = 0.23 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  obtained by Pingintha et al. (2010) for a much more productive peanut crop in Georgia with much higher LAI<sub>max</sub> (7.6 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>).

The maximum daily values and maximum mean weekly parameter values presented in Table 5 show the ranges of variability of the ecophysiological parameters of legume crops, demonstrating coefficients of variation from 18 to 28%. Comparison of the ecophysiological parameters of legumes with the corresponding parameters estimated for grain crops in North America, summarized by Gilmanov et al. (2013) using the same methods, demonstrate (Table 6) that both the daily and the weekly maximums of the apparent quantum yield ( $\alpha_{max}$ ) of legumes are not significantly different from those of maize but are significantly higher than for wheat fields. The photosynthetic

Site	Crop	Year	$\alpha_{\sf max}$	$^{\mathrm{cl}}$ max,wk	A <sub>max</sub>	A <sub>max,wk</sub>	r <sub>day,</sub> max	r day,max,wk	LUE <sub>max</sub>	LUE <sub>max,wk</sub>	D <sub>VPD</sub>
			mmol	mol <sup>-1</sup>	mg CO	0 m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>		2 m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	lomm ——	mol <sup>-1</sup>	p
Edmonton, AB, Canada	alfalfa	2010	54.30	32.58	1.99	1.77	0.388	0.279	36.97	25.50	53
Trace Gas Manitoba, MB, Canada	faba bean	2007	56.81	45.43	2.69	1.88	0.533	0.400	54.73	24.92	40
Oensingen-2, Switzerland	pea	2010	59.09	43.31	2.05	1.39	0.725	0.479	39.89	29.17	33
Mandan H5, North Dakota	alfalfa	2010	56.00	47.80	I.83	1.31	0.510	0.350	48.10	28.86	29
Rosemount alternative, Minnesota	soybean/rye	2004	46.57	33.32	2.13	1.68	0.440	0.250	36.37	25.30	50
Rosemount conventional, Minnesota	soybean	2004	47.55	34.90	1.80	1.59	0.291	0.234	38.21	24.17	I
Rosemount conventional, Minnesota	soybean	2006	68.18	42.36	I.83	1.52	0.464	0.348	42.58	25.5	45
Avignon, France	pea	2005	54.81	36.90	2.05	1.57	0.410	0.296	38.61	22.26	53
Lennox, SD	soybean	2012	35.14	26.88	1.50	0.96	0.211	0.164	23.79	15.97	68
Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan	alfalfa	2006	56.82	47.39	1.99	1.67	0.399	0.317	52.08	34.74	62
Brooks Field-10, Iowa	soybean	2008	56.72	40.89	I.89	1.44	0.448	0.310	36.04	19.957	56
Fermi agricultural site, Illinois	soybean	2007	52.7	36.45	2.27	1.87	0.460	0.291	50.785	30.58	50
Fermi agricultural site, Illinois	soybean	2009	48.65	34.50	2.33	1.75	0.319	0.227	44.40	31.04	41
Ames, IA	soybean	2003	54.03	37.83	2.1	1.57	0.460	0.352	37	22.95	I
Mead rainfed, Nebraska	soybean	2002	51.79	42.18	2.03	1.85	0.438	0.396	42.8	30.11	51
Mead rainfed, Nebraska	soybean	2004	49.27	39.99	2.35	2.20	0.357	0.321	35.30	25.16	61
Mead irrigated rotation, Nebraska	soybean	2002	61.28	38.27	2.50	2.18	0.491	0.396	38.82	28.58	I
Borgo Cioffi, Italy	alfalfa	2003	59.02	42.30	2.15	1.72	0.375	0.292	41.83	28.17	42
Haller, PA	alfalfa	2003	65.91	45.96	2.30	1.705	0.471	0.379	48.47	33.69	I
Bondville, IL	soybean	1998	55.37	26.64	1.57	1.27	0.34	0.254	36.158	23.66	I
Bondville, IL	soybean	2000	50.18	35.97	2.10	1.73	0.366	0.280	39.98	25.32	I
Bondville, IL	soybean	2002	48.97	36.85	2.30	1.89	0.394	0.3146	35.375	31.66	73
Bondville, IL	soybean	2004	61.45	46.17	2.75	2.35	0.429	0.306	53.57	40.30	34
Bondville, IL	soybean	2006	48.95	36.45	2.40	1.88	0.452	0.322	46.21	29.96	50
Lamont ARM main, Oklahoma	soybean	2006	28.89	14.51	0.55	0.44	0.258	0.111	10.11	7.17	58
Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	soybean/winter wheat	2007	I	34	I	1.30	Ι	0.223	I	13.72	I
Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	soybean/winter wheat	2008	I	45.6	I	1.13	I	0.229	I	13.31	I
Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	soybean/winter wheat	2009	I	45.7	I	1.10	I	0.138	I	18.62	I
Vienna, GA	peanut	2005	31.82	28.40	2.05	1.88	0.301	0.228	26.94	22.15	61
Min.			28.89	14.51	0.55	0.44	0.21	0.11	10.11	7.17	29
Max.			68.18	47.8	2.75	2.35	0.73	0.48	54.73	40.30	73
Mean			52.32	37.91	2.06	1.61	0.41	0.29	39.81	25.26	51
SE			9.29	7.51	0.43	0.40	0.10	0.08	9.62	7.00	11.72
CV			18	20	21	25	25	28	24	2 =	23
и			26	29	26	29	26	29	26	29	20

Table 6. Mean ecophysiological parameters of the maximum daily (index *max*) and weekly (index *max,wk*) apparent quantum yield ( $\alpha$ ), photosynthetic capacity (A), daytime respiration rate ( $r_{day}$ ), and light use efficiency (LUE) for legume, maize, and wheat crops estimated from flux-tower measurements (maize and wheat data according to Gilmanov et al., 2013).

Crop	Parameter	$\alpha_{max}$	$^{lpha}$ max,wk	A <sub>max</sub>	A <sub>max,wk</sub>	r <sub>day,max</sub>	r <sub>day,max,wk</sub>	LUE <sub>max</sub>	LUE <sub>max,wk</sub>
		—— mmol	mol <sup>–I</sup> ——	—mg CO <sub>2</sub>	m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ——	mg CO <sub>2</sub>	m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> —	——mmo	l mol <sup>–I</sup> ——
Legumes	avg.	52.3	37.9	2.06	1.61	0.41	0.29	39.8	25.3
	n	26	29	26	29	26	29	26	29
Maize	avg.	49.4 ns	39.8 ns	2.93***	2.62***	0.51*	0.37**	44.1 ns	34.3***
	n	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
Wheat	avg.	35.9***	29.7**	1.7*	1.34*	0.31**	0.23*	29.7**	20.8 ns
	n	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9

\* For maize, hypothesis that maize mean > legume mean is significant at p ≤0.05; for wheat, hypothesis that wheat mean < legume mean is significant at p ≤0.05; ns, not significant.</p>
\*\* For maize, hypothesis that maize mean > legume mean is significant at p ≤0.01; for wheat, hypothesis that wheat mean < legume mean is significant at p ≤0.01.</p>
\*\*\* For maize, hypothesis that maize mean > legume mean is significant at p ≤0.00; for wheat, hypothesis that wheat mean < legume mean is significant at p ≤0.01.</p>

capacity  $(A_{\text{max}})$  of the legume crops at both daily and weekly scales is significantly lower than that of maize and significantly higher than for wheat crops. The metabolic parameter of daytime respiration rate  $(r_{dav})$  of legumes is significantly different from both maize and wheat, being lower than for maize but higher than for wheat. Maximum daily values of LUE of legumes are not significantly different from those of maize (which is in agreement with the apparent quantum yield,  $\alpha_{\max}$ , data in Table 6), but mean weekly LUE data for legumes are significantly lower than in maize. Compared with wheat, the maximum daily LUE values for legumes are significantly higher, but this is not true for the weekly values. Thus, high physiological potentials of the legumes are not always realized in long-term (weekly) performance, resulting in LUE<sub>max,wk</sub> values for legumes significantly lower than for maize and not significantly higher than for wheat (Table 6).

For comparison of the extent of VPD limitation between sites and years for those sites for which the model of Eq. [3–5] with VPD dependence of the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange was applied, we used the  $D_{\rm VPD}$  parameter calculated from the cumulative distribution of the curvature parameter,  $\sigma_{\rm VPD}$ , of Eq. [5] as the total number of days for which VPD<sub>max</sub>  $\geq 1$  kPa and  $\sigma_{\rm VPD} \leq 4$  kPa (Fig. 3). We found that the number of days when an atmospheric water deficit was affecting the productivity of the legume crops varied from 29 to 73 d (Table 5), with a trend of increasing from north to south, most closely correlating with the sum of temperatures >5°C [correlation coefficient *I*(Tsum5,  $D_{\rm VPD}$ ) = 0.37].

# Source-Sink Activity of the Legume Crop Fields

Time series of daily values of photosynthesis  $P_{\rm g}(t)$ , respiration  $R_{\rm e}(t)$ , net daily  ${\rm CO}_2$  flux F(t), and its cumulative sum, the cumulative net ecosystem production, iNEP(t), provide a comprehensive description of the dynamics of the CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in the legume crop fields. Examples in Fig. 5 show that the legume crops exhibited a variety of patterns of the integrated net ecosystem production curves iNEP(t), from predominantly accumulative, demonstrated by alfalfa crops (Fig. 5C and 5G) through nearly equilibrium, recorded in the faba bean crop cultivated for forage and in highly productive soybean crops (Fig. 5A, 5E, and 5H), to the negative net CO<sub>2</sub> balance observed on other soybean fields (Fig. 5B, 5D, and 5F).

The annual integrals of gross primary production, total ecosystem respiration, and the net ecosystem production calculated according to Eq. [3–5], with  $P_{\rm g}(t)$  and  $R_{\rm e}(t)$  for missing days  $t_i$  gap-filled using interpolated parameters, are

presented in Table 7 along with the maximum annual values of daily  $P_{g,max}$ ,  $R_{e,max}$ , and  $F_{max}$ . The maximum daily rate of photosynthetic assimilation of the legume crops,  $P_{g,max}$ , in Table 7 was 71.1 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> recorded for the soybean crop at the Bondville site in 2004, which is lower but comparable to the maximum of 82 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> obtained from the Thomas and Hill (1949) estimate of  $P_{g,max} = 56$  g dry matter m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for an experimental alfalfa crop (assuming 40% C content of the dry matter). This  $P_{g,max} = 71.1$  g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for legumes is lower than 110 and 79 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> established at the maize and wheat flux tower sites, respectively, of midcontinent North America (Gilmanov et al., 2013). At the same time, the maximum daily respiration  $R_{e,max} = 62.6$  g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for legumes was higher than 32 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for maize fields and comparable to 64 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for maize fields (Gilmanov et al., 2013).

Our estimates of the  $P_{\rm g,max},R_{\rm e,max},$  and  $F_{\rm max}$  in legume fields are consistent with data of other researchers who used different methods. Suyker et al. (2005) estimated  $P_{g,max} = 66$ and  $R_{e,max} = 44 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  for the soybean rotation of the irrigated Mead site in 2002, which compares with our estimates of 59 and 41 g  $\rm CO_2 \, m^{-2} \, d^{-1}$  for the same site-year. Peng and Gitelson (2012) estimated the error of the daily soybean  $P_{a}$ values for the same site as SE =  $\pm 8.3 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ . Applying this error value to statistically compare both daily photosynthesis and respiration maxima, the difference between the estimates of Suyker et al. (2005) and our estimates lies within the  $\pm 2$  SE range and therefore may be considered not significant. According to Verma et al. (2005) and Peng and Gitelson (2012) for the rainfed soybean crop at the Mead site in 2002,  $P_{g,max} = 53.9$  g  $CO_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  and  $F_{\text{max}} = 23.8 \text{ g} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , which are also rather close to our estimates for the same site-year of  $P_{g,max} =$ 55.7 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and  $F_{\text{max}} = 26.2$  g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>.

In terms of the annual totals, which reflect not only the intensive physiological parameters of species and cultivars but also such extensive parameters as length of the period of active photosynthesis during the year, the situation is as follows: the mean annual GPP (Eq. [9]) from flux-tower sites in maize fields was 4480 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, in wheat fields it was 2393 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Gilmanov et al., 2013), and in legume fields it was 3056 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (this study). The corresponding values for ecosystem respiration RE (Eq. [10]) are 3269 for maize, 2276 for wheat, and 3159 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for legume crops. As a result, the mean annual net ecosystem production NEP (Eq. [11]) amounts to 1211 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for maize, 116 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for wheat



Fig. 5. Seasonal dynamics of gross photosynthesis P<sub>g</sub>, ecosystem respiration R<sub>e</sub>, net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange F, and accumulated net ecosystem production iNEP in selected legume fields: (A) faba bean, Trace Gas Manitoba, 2007; (B) soybean, Rosemount conventional, 2006; (C) alfalfa, Kellogg Biological Station, 2006; (D) soybean, Brooks Field 10, 2008; (E) soybean, Fermi agricultural site, 2007; (F) soybean, Mead rainfed, 2002; (G) alfalfa, Haller, 2003; and (H) soybean, Bondville, 2002.

system production (NEP) for the site-	years of the study.											
Site	Сгор	Year	T <sub>air</sub> †	PCPN <sup>‡</sup>	LGS5§	Tsum5¶	P g,max	$R_{\rm e,max}$	F <sub>max</sub>	GPP	RE	NEP
			°	mm yr <sup>-1</sup>	P	°C d		g CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>		60	CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	
Edmonton, AB, Canada	alfalfa	2010	4.18	381	189	1545	56.31	29.74	35.56	3460	2300	1160
Trace Gas Manitoba, MB, Canada	faba bean	2007	2.88	464	192	1887	62.83	43.08	38.95	2503	2470	32
Oensingen-2, Switzerland	реа	2010	8.39	1142	238	9661	67.98	62.58	28.52	3174	5240	-2066
Mandan H5, North Dakota	alfalfa	2010	5.34	605	206	2088	56.25	39.61	37.58	4332	3419	913
Rosemount alternative, Minnesota	soybean/rye	2004	7.31	766	219	2288	50.97	36.90	30.09	3228	2983	245
Rosemount conventional, Minnesota	soybean	2004	7.31	766	219	2288	41.90	24.16	24.73	2070	2434	-364
Rosemount conventional, Minnesota	soybean	2006	9.20	699	214	2548	53.75	37.89	25.59	2710	3041	-331
Avignon, France	pea	2005	14.01	491	298	3476	60.72	34.56	32.43	1966	2578	-612
Lennox, SD	soybean	2012	10.47	336	239	2999	40.15	17.82	23.62	1360	2032	-672
Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan	alfalfa	2006	10.05	1156	233	2496	48.87	33.38	30.52	4932	3845	1086
Brooks Field-10, Iowa	soybean	2008	7.78	1179	212	2538	42.74	37.15	19.33	1961	2495	-534
Fermi agricultural site, Illinois	soybean	2007	9.92	1166	231	2940	53.53	37.81	36.31	3288	3250	38
Fermi agricultural site, Illinois	soybean	2009	8.02	1162	226	2429	44.26	26.53	26.65	2131	2826	-695
Ames, IA	soybean	2003	9.01	718	225	2688	49.40	38.30	22.02	2353	3159	-806
Mead rainfed, Nebraska	soybean	2002	10.71	544	234	2958	55.73	36.91	26.19	2964	3483	-519
Mead rainfed, Nebraska	soybean	2004	10.35	670	248	2919	52.74	30.47	29.95	2913	3244	-331
Mead irrigated rotation, Nebraska	soybean	2002	10.55	831	231	2911	58.84	41.04	24.62	3165	3277	-112
Borgo Cioffi, Italy	alfalfa	2002–2003	16.31	787	356	3933	53.80	31.97	31.77	6771	5596	1175
Haller, PA	alfalfa	2003	8.87	1193	239	2383	48.98	37.16	35.11	5219	4673	546
Bondville, IL	soybean	1998	12.55	930	266	3290	40.61	27.76	26.87	2063	2712	-649
Bondville, IL	soybean	2000	10.71	582	249	3051	52.95	29.01	36.75	2724	3014	-291
Bondville, IL	soybean	2002	11.65	704	244	3063	57.42	32.12	30.83	2998	2821	177
Bondville, IL	soybean	2004	11.31	0101	266	3046	71.09	37.77	45.38	4032	3269	763
Bondville, IL	soybean	2006	11.83	1025	262	2887	55.36	33.67	30.53	3251	3394	-143
Lamont ARM main, Oklahoma#	soybean	2006	16.46	486	313	4385	15.09	19.93	12.58	389	985	-596
Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	soybean/winter wheat	2007	17.60	567	314	4806	36.09	21.23	16.07	2725	3096	-371
Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	soybean/winter wheat	2008	16.20	1310	307	4312	35.90	22.37	13.23	3364	3494	-130
Winfred Thomas ARS, Alabama	soybean/winter wheat	2009	16.78	1383	307	4381	33.75	27.75	14.33	3997	3925	72
Vienna, GA††	peanut	2005	16.77	1265	323	4386	46.34	24.59	25.89	2591	2554	37
Min.			2.88	336	189	1545	15.09	17.82	12.58	389	985	-2066
Max.			17.6	1383	356	4806	71.09	62.58	45.38	6771	5596	1175
Mean			10.78	838	252	2997	49.81	32.87	28.00	3056	3159	-103
SE			3.87	308	43	837	11.35	8.83	7.98	1231	914	697
CV			36	37	17	28	23	27	29	40	29	N/A
Ľ			29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29
† Mean annual air temperature.												

Annual precipitation.

LGS5- number of days with mean daily temperature  $>5^\circ C.$ 

 $I\!\!I$  Annual sum of daily mean temperatures >5°C.

# Productivity and respiration characteristics for Lamont ARM main site are given for the July–December period, when soybean was cultivated as the second crop after winter wheat harvested in June.  $\uparrow\uparrow$  Out-of-season respiration estimated using respiration–temperature relationship.

(Gilmanov et al., 2013), and  $-103 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for legume crops. Within the legume group, the data show that perennial legumes (alfalfa) were on average a strong sink, with mean NEP of 976 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (range 546–1175 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), while annual legumes demonstrated a moderate to strong source activity, with mean NEP of  $-327 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (range -2066 to 763 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), although it should be borne in mind that ecosystem respiration for annual legumes definitely includes decomposition of the net production (e.g., root residue) of the previous crop (Gebremedhin et al., 2012).

Our estimates of the GPP and RE for the rainfed (2964 and 3483 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} yr^{-1}$ ) and irrigated rotation (3165 and 3277 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} yr^{-1}$ ) soybean crops at the Mead station in 2002 (Table 7) may be compared with tower-based estimates by Suyker et al. (2005) and model-based data by Grant et al. (2007). Suyker et al. (2005) obtained GPP and RE values of 3109 and 3175 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} yr^{-1}$  and 3542 and 3670 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} yr^{-1}$  for the rainfed and irrigated fields, respectively, showing differences  $\sim$ 10% in magnitude from our estimates, which are mostly due to differences in the estimation of daytime ecosystem respiration. Nevertheless, those differences are much smaller than the

legume fields, at the qualitative level, these numbers compare well with the shift of  $\sim 800 \text{ g } \text{CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  of the legume points to the left relative to the cereal points, as shown in Fig. 6, where the mean NEP for maize is 1211 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, 116 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for wheat, and -135 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for legumes. In contrast to annual legumes, perennial legume crops (alfalfa) demonstrated pronounced CO<sub>2</sub> sink activity, with corresponding points on the GPP–RE diagram located to the right of the main diagonal. Nevertheless, on average, they also are shifted to the left compared with maize crops (Fig. 6), apparently reflecting the metabolic costs of N<sub>2</sub> fixation.

# CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Ecosystem-scale physiological parameters of apparent quantum yield, photosynthetic capacity, ecosystem respiration, and photosynthetic light-use efficiency of the legume crops of North America estimated from flux-tower measurements using light-response function methods have intermediate values between the higher values for maize and lower values for wheat crops.
- 2. During the growing period, the parameters of quantum yield, photosynthetic capacity, respiration rate, and light-use efficiency exhibited pronounced patterns of seasonal variation, reflecting combined changes in intensive (physiological and phenological state) and extensive (biomass and leaf area) characteristics of the plant canopy, which have strong implications for the "light-use efficiency-based" models of ecosystem  $CO_2$  exchange.
- 3. In periods of strong limitation of plant productivity due to water deficit, it is necessary to modify the classical nonrectangular hyperbolic equation of the canopy CO<sub>2</sub> exchange by introduction of VPD-dependent control of photosynthetic uptake. The curvature coefficient of the VPD response,  $\sigma_{VPD}$  (Eq. [5]), and characteristics derived from it, like the number of days when  $\sigma_{VPD} < 4$  and  $VPD_{max} \ge 1.0$  kPa, may be used to quantify the level of VPD limitation of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange for comparative purposes.
- 4. Perennial legume crops (alfalfa) perform as strong sinks for atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, with mean NEP of 980 (maximum 1200) g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, but remain less productive than maize crops, with mean NEP of 1200 (maximum 2100) g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, reduction in the alfalfa NEP being comparable to the costs of symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation estimated at 220 to 440 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>.
- 5. Annual RE controls a larger fraction of the GPP for annual legume crops than for cereals (Fig. 6), also reflecting generally lower NEP values and the potential for  $CO_2$ -source activity, particularly for soybean and pea crops. This conclusion has four far-reaching implications. First, metabolic expenditures for N<sub>2</sub> fixation combined with the comparatively short growing season(s) may be among the factors contributing to lower annual NEP. Second, any management activity that affects C exchange during the period when legumes are not present can greatly change the annual C balance. These activities range from prolonging the fallow period (increasing the likelihood of an annual source) to multicropping systems (increasing the sink capacity), or other management activities, e.g., increased irrigation or fertilizer use. Third, any change in the abiotic drivers that affect the process rates (e.g.,

temperature, water availability, or light) will in turn affect the source–sink strength of these legume crops. A change in these drivers can be in the magnitude, quality, and periodicity, as well as the timing of seasonal changes, e.g., phenology, early-onset spring, or early-onset summer drought. Lastly, interactions among natural drivers, management choices, and agronomic economies are likely to change local to regional C balances of future legume cropping—but also bound within the ecophysiological parameters presented here.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work used eddy covariance data acquired by the FLUXNET community and in particular by the following networks: AmeriFlux (USDOE, Biological and Environmental Research, Terrestrial Carbon Program [DE-FG02-04ER63917 and DE-FG02-04ER63911]), CarboEuropeIP, Fluxnet-Canada (supported by CFCAS, NSERC, BIOCAP, Environment Canada, and NRCan), Canadian Foundation for Innovation, iCORE (Alberta Innovates Technology Futures) and NSERC. The USGS Land Change Science Program funded flux partitioning and analysis as well as remote sensing components of the work. We acknowledge the financial support to the eddy covariance data harmonization provided by the USDA-ARS, CarboEuropeIP, the National Science Foundation (NSF), and the USDOE and the database development and technical support from Berkeley Water Center, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab., Microsoft Research eScience, Oak Ridge National Lab., Univ. of California-Berkeley, CARBOEUROPE-IP and the CARBOFRANCE project funded by the European FP7 Program (GOCECT-2003-505572) and the French Ministry in charge of Environment (GICC programme). Financial support was also provided by the USDOE, Office of Science (DE-FCO2-07ER64494) and Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (DE-ACO5-76RL01830), the NSF LTER Program (DEB 1027253), and MSU AgBioResearch. The data for the southeastern plains was provided by the Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Winfred Thomas Agricultural Research Station. Henry W. Loescher acknowledges the NSF (EF-102980) for their ongoing support. This article has been peer reviewed and approved for publication consistent with USGS Fundamental Science Practices (http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/1367/); opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views of the other support agencies.

## REFERENCES

- Asseng, S., and T.C. Hsiao. 2000. Canopy CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, energy balance, and water use efficiency of an alfalfa crop before and after cutting. Field Crops Res. 67:191–206. doi:10.1016/S0378-4290(00)00094-0
- Aubinet, M., T. Vesala, and D. Papale, editors. 2012. Eddy covariance: A practical guide to measurement and data analysis. Springer, Dordrecht, the Netherlands.
- Baker, J.M., and T.J. Griffis. 2005. Examining strategies to improve the carbon balance of corn/bean agriculture using eddy covariance and mass balance techniques. Agric. For. Meteorol. 128:163–177. doi:10.1016/j. agrformet.2004.11.005
- Baldocchi, D.D., S.B. Verma, and N.J. Rosenberg. 1981a. Mass and energy exchange of a soybean canopy under various environmental regimes. Agron. J. 73:706–710. doi:10.2134/agronj1981.0002196200730004003 4x
- Baldocchi, D.D., S.B. Verma, and N.J. Rosenberg. 1981b. Environmental effects of CO<sub>2</sub> flux and CO<sub>2</sub>–water flux ratio of alfalfa. Agric. Meteorol. 24:175– 184. doi:10.1016/0002-1571(81)90042-X
- Baldocchi, D.D., S.B. Verma, N.J. Rosenberg, B.L. Blad, A. Garay, and J.E. Specht. 1983. Influence of water stress on the diurnal exchange of mass and energy between the atmosphere and a soybean canopy. Agron. J. 75:543– 548. doi:10.2134/agronj1983.00021962007500030029x

- Bernacchi, C.J., S.E. Hollinger, and T. Meyers. 2005. The conversion of the corn/ soybean ecosystem to no-till agriculture may result in a carbon sink. Global Change Biol. 11:1867–1872.
- Bernacchi, C.J., S.E. Hollinger, and T. Meyers. 2006. Corrigendum: The conversion of the corn/soybean ecosystem to no-till agriculture may result in a carbon sink. Global Change Biol. 12:1585–1586. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01195.x
- Cooper, J.P. 1970. Potential production and energy conversion in temperate and tropical grasses. Herb. Abstr. 40:1–13.
- El-Sharkawy, M.A., J.H. Cook, and A.A. Held. 1984. Water use efficiency of cassava: II. Differing sensitivity of stomata to air humidity in cassava and other warm-climate species. Crop Sci. 24:503–507. doi:10.2135/cropsci19 84.0011183X002400030018x
- Endres, L., J.V. Silva, V.M. Ferreira, and G.V.D.S. Barbosa. 2010. Photosynthesis and water relations in Brazilian sugarcane. Open Agric. J. 4:31–37.
- Fischer, M., D. Billesbach, J. Berry, W. Riley, and M. Torn. 2007. Spatiotemporal variations in growing season exchanges of CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and sensible heat in agricultural fields of the Southern Great Plains. Earth Interact. 11:1–21. doi:10.1175/EI231.1
- Gebremedhin, M.T., H.W. Loescher, and T.D. Tsegaye. 2012. Carbon balance of no-till soybean with winter wheat cover crop in the southeastern United States. Agron. J. 104:1321–1335. doi:10.2134/agronj2012.0072
- Gilmanov, T.G., L. Aires, L. Belelli, Z. Barcza, V.S. Baron, J. Beringer, et al. 2010. Productivity, respiration, and light-response parameters of world grassland and agro-ecosystems derived from flux-tower measurements. Rangeland Ecol. Manage. 63:16–39. doi:10.2111/REM-D-09-00072.1
- Gilmanov, T.G., J.F. Soussana, L. Aires, V. Allard, C. Ammann, M. Balzarolo, et al. 2007. Partitioning European grassland net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange into gross primary productivity and ecosystem respiration using light response function analysis. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 121: 93–120. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.008
- Gilmanov, T.G., L.L. Tieszen, B.K. Wylie, L.B. Flanagan, A.B. Frank, M.R. Haferkamp, **et al**. 2005. Integration of CO<sub>2</sub> flux and remotely-sensed data for primary production and ecosystem respiration analyses in the Northern Great Plains: Potential for quantitative spatial extrapolation. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 14:271–292. doi:10.1111/j.1466-822X.2005.00151.x
- Gilmanov, T.G., S.B. Verma, P.L. Sims, T.P. Meyers, J.A. Bradford, G.G. Burba, and A.E. Suyker. 2003. Gross primary production and light response parameters of four Southern Plains ecosystems estimated using long-term CO<sub>2</sub>-flux tower measurements. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 17:002003. doi:10.1029/2002GB002023
- Gilmanov, T.G., B.K. Wylie, L.L. Tieszen, T.P. Meyers, V.S. Baron, C.J. Bernacchi, et al. 2013. CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and ecophysiological parameters of the grain crops of midcontinent North America: Estimates from flux tower measurements. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 164:162–175. doi:10.1016/j. agee.2012.09.017
- Glenn, A.J., B.D. Amiro, M. Tenuta, S.E. Steward, and C. Wagner-Riddle. 2010. Carbon dioxide exchange in a northern prairie cropland system over three years. Agric. For. Meteorol. 150:908–918. doi:10.1016/j. agrformet.2010.02.010
- Grant, R.F., T.J. Arkebauer, A. Dobermann, K.G. Hubbard, T.T. Schimelfenig, A.E. Suyker, et al. 2007. Net biome productivity of irrigated and rainfed maize–soybean rotations: Modelingvs. measurements. Agron. J. 99:1404– 1423. doi:10.2134/agronj2006.0308
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., J.L. Hatfield, T.B. Parkin, T.J. Sauer, and J.H. Prueger. 2011. Carbon dioxide fluxes in corn-soybean rotation in the midwestern U.S.: Inter- and intra-annual variations, and biophysical controls. Agric. For. Meteorol. 151:1831–1842. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.07.017
- Hollinger, S.E., C.J. Bernacchi, and T.P. Meyers. 2005. Carbon budget of mature no-till ecosystem in North Central Region of the United States. Agric. For. Meteorol. 130:59–69. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.01.005
- Lasslop, G., M. Reichstein, D. Papale, A.D. Richardson, A. Arneth, A. Barr, et al. 2010. Separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and respiration using a light response curve approach: Critical issues and global evaluation. Global Change Biol. 16:187–208. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02041.x
- Loescher, H.W., B.E. Law, L. Mahrt, D.Y. Hollinger, J.L. Campbell, and S.C. Wofsy. 2006. Uncertainties in, and interpretation of, carbon flux estimates using the eddy covariance technique. J. Geophys. Res. 111:D21S90. doi:10.1029/2005JD006932

- Long, S.P., M.B. Jones, and M.J. Roberts, editors, 1992. Primary productivity of grass ecosystems of the tropics and sub-tropics. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Ludwick, A.E. 2000. High yield alfalfa: 24 tons irrigated ... 12 tons non-irrigated. Better Crops 84:18–19.
- Meyers, T.P., and S.E. Hollinger. 2004. An assessment of storage terms in the surface energy balance of maize and soybean. Agric. For. Meteorol. 125:105–115. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2004.03.001
- Peng, Y., and A.A. Gitelson. 2012. Remote estimation of gross primary productivity in soybean and maize based on total crop chlorophyll content. Remote Sens. Environ. 117:440–448. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2011.10.021
- Pingintha, N., M.Y. Leclerc, J.P. Beasley, Jr., D. Durden, G. Zhang, C. Senthong, and D. Rowland. 2010. Hysteresis response of daytime net ecosystem exchange during drought. Biogeosciences 7:1159–1170. doi:10.5194/ bg-7-1159-2010
- Platt, S.G., and J.A. Bassham. 1978. Photosynthesis and increased production of protein. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 105:195–247. doi:10.1007/978-1-4684-3366-1\_12
- Reichstein, M., E. Falge, D. Baldocchi, D. Papale, R. Valentini, M. Aubinet, et al. 2005. On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved algorithm. Global Change Biol. 11:1424–1439. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.001002.x
- Salvagiotti, F., K.G. Cassman, J.E. Specht, D.T. Walters, A. Weiss, and A.R. Dobermann. 2008. Nitrogen uptake, fixation and response to fertilizer N in soybeans: A review. Field Crops Res. 108:1–13. doi:10.1016/j. fcr.2008.03.001
- Skinner, R.H., and P.R. Adler. 2010. Carbon dioxide and water fluxes from switchgrass managed for bioenergy production. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 138:257–264. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2010.05.008
- Specht, J.E., D.J. Hume, and S.V. Kumudini. 1999. Soybean yield potential: A genetic and physiological perspective. Crop Sci. 39:1560–1570. doi:10.2135/cropsci1999.3961560x
- Stacey, G., R.H. Burris, and H.J. Evans, editors. 1992. Biological nitrogen fixation. Springer, New York.
- Stella, P., E. Lamaud, Y. Brunet, J.-M. Bonnefond, D. Loustau, and M. Irvine. 2009. Simultaneous measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> and water exchanges over three agroecosystems in south-west France. Biogeosci. Discuss. 6:2489–2522. doi:10.5194/bgd-6-2489-2009
- Stoy, P.C., G.G. Katul, M.B.S. Siqueira, J.-Y. Juang, K.A. Novick, J.M. Uebelherr, and R. Oren. 2006. An evaluation of models for partitioning eddy covariance-measured net ecosystem exchange into photosynthesis and respiration. Agric. For. Meteorol. 141:2–18. doi:10.1016/j. agrformet.2006.09.001
- Suyker, A.E., T.J. Arkebauer, S.B. Verma, and G.G. Burba. 2005. Gross primary production and ecosystem respiration of irrigated maize and irrigated soybean during a growing season. Agric. For. Meteorol. 131:180–190. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.05.007
- Thomas, M.D., and G.R. Hill. 1949. Photosynthesis under field conditions. In: J. Franck and W.E. Loomis, editors, Photosynthesis in plants. Iowa State College Press, Ames. p. 19–52.
- Thornley, J.H.M., and I.R. Johnson. 2000. Plant and crop modelling: A mathematical approach to plant and crop physiology. Blackburn Press, Caldwell, NJ.
- Verma, S.B., D.T. Walters, J.M. Knops, T.J. Arkebauer, A.E. Suyker, G.G. Burba, et al. 2005. Annual carbon dioxide exchange in irrigated and rainfed maize-based agroecosystems. Agric. For. Meteorol. 131:77–96. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.05.003
- Werner, D. 1992. Physiology of nitrogen-fixing legume nodules: Compartments and functions. In: G. Stacey et al., editors, Biological nitrogen fixation. Chapman and Hall, New York. p. 399–431.
- Zeri, M., K. Anderson-Teixeira, G. Hickman, M. Masters, E. DeLucia, and C.J. Bernacchi. 2011. Carbon exchange by establishing biofuel crops in central Illinois. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 144:319–329. doi:10.1016/j. agee.2011.09.006