A geostatistical approach to identify and mitigate agricultural nitrous oxide emission hotspots
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HIGHLIGHTS
• Geospatial analyses resolved N₂O emissions at fine spatial scales.
• Hotspots emitted N₂O at rates ~2-fold greater than non-hotspot locations.
• Targeted management of N₂O hotspots could reduce emissions by 17%.

ABSTRACT
Anthropogenic emissions of nitrous oxide (N₂O), a trace gas with severe environmental costs, are greatest from agricultural soils amended with nitrogen (N) fertilizer. However, accurate N₂O emission estimates at fine spatial scales are made difficult by their high variability, which represents a critical challenge for the management of N₂O emissions. Here, static chamber measurements (n = 60) and soil samples (n = 129) were collected at approximately weekly intervals (n = 6) for 42-d immediately following the application of N in a southern Minnesota cornfield (15.6-ha), typical of the systems prevalent throughout the U.S. Corn Belt. These data were integrated into a geostatistical model that resolved N₂O emissions at a high spatial resolution (1-m). Field-scale N₂O emissions exhibited a high degree of spatial variability, and were partitioned into three classes of emission strength: hotspots, intermediate, and coldspots. Rates of emission from hotspots were 2-fold greater than non-hotspot locations. Consequently, 36% of the field-scale emissions could be attributed to hotspots, despite representing only 21% of the total field area. Variations in elevation caused hotspots to develop in predictable locations, which were prone to nutrient and moisture accumulation caused by terrain focusing. Because these features are relatively static, our data and analyses indicate that targeted management of hotspots could efficiently reduce field-scale emissions by as much 17%, a significant benefit considering the deleterious effects of atmospheric N₂O.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations: CV, coefficient of variation; DEM, digital elevation model; LiDAR, light detection and ranging; OC, ordinary cokriging; VNRA, variable rate nitrogen application; WPI, wetland probability index.

Keywords:
Nitrous oxide
Greenhouse gas management
Biogeochemical hotspots
LiDAR digital elevation model
Geospatial cokriging

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
Science of the Total Environment
journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv
1. Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a potent greenhouse gas (Hartmann et al., 2013) and the leading cause of stratospheric ozone loss (Ravishankara et al., 2009). In response to its deleterious environmental effects, efforts to mitigate agricultural emissions, which account for nearly 75% of the national anthropogenic source (US Department of State, 2014), are in development. Such efforts often focus on N management improvements (e.g., optimizing the source, depth, and timing of fertilizer) at the field or farm scale. Yet, the findings from these mitigation strategies have been highly variable (Venterea et al., 2016), in part because episodic and spatially variable emissions hinder accurate budget estimates (Mathieu et al., 2006; Vethof et al., 2000). For instance, field-scale N₂O emission measurements with chambers can yield a coefficient of variation (CV) as high as 500% (Folorunso and Rolston, 1984; van den Pol-van Dasselaar et al., 1998), suggesting that our ability to accurately determine the outcome of mitigation practices is cause for concern. At fine sub-field spatial scales (<1 m² to 1000 m²), N₂O “hotspots” appear to be disproportionately strong sources (Parkin, 1987; van den Heuvel et al., 2009), yet their influence over cumulative field-scale emissions remains uncertain because high-resolution data are rarely available. For farmers to manage N₂O emissions effectively, subfield-scale emission estimates are necessary to identify potential hotspots and to benchmark their effects on field-scale mitigation practices.

Light detection and ranging (LiDAR) digital elevation models (DEM) are powerful tools that can help guide precision agriculture and conservation strategies (Galzki et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2014). When coupled with geospatial techniques, this emerging technology helps generate high-resolution maps of agriculturally relevant information such as the presence of hydric soils (Fink and Drohan, 2016), moisture content (Moore et al., 1993; Murphy et al., 2009), and soil nitrogen status (Weintraub et al., 2014) that allow farmers to focus extra attention and resources on critical areas. Furthermore, complex processes like methane emissions (Sundqvist et al., 2015) have been characterized using DEMs, suggesting that this technology can better resolve the field-scale spatial distribution of N₂O emissions.

Indeed, differences in topography and landscape position have a strong influence on N₂O emissions (Ambus, 1998; Ball et al., 1997) because terrain gradients redistribute moisture and nutrients that are necessary for the production of N₂O. Consequently, N₂O emission frequency distributions are typically positively skewed by a few strong sources (Parkin, 1987; Vethof et al., 2000) observed at topographically low positions (Ambus, 1998). Here, terrain focusing enables the development of hotspots by concentrating organic matter, moisture, and nitrate (NO₃⁻) into localized, but predictably potential areas. Taken together, these soil characteristics can support disproportionately high rates of denitrification (Groffman et al., 2009) that we posit are capable of sustaining high N₂O emissions. However, field-scale emission distribution maps remain coarse, since an unrealistic number of static chambers are required to resolve the high variability, implying poor constraints on hotspots.

With the aid of DEMs and geospatial analyses, denitrification hotspots can be isolated and mapped by pinpointing locations with the highest probability of moisture and NO₃⁻ accumulation (Anderson et al., 2015). We propose that a similar approach can resolve the distribution of N₂O emissions at a high spatial resolution that will guide targeted mitigation practices. Here, we examine the spatial distribution of N₂O fluxes and cumulative emissions in a strip-tilled cornfield to address three questions: 1) can DEMs help predict where N₂O hotspots will develop on the landscape; 2) how significant are hotspots in the cumulative field-scale budget; and 3) how can DEMs be used to guide N management and N₂O mitigation?

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Site description and experimental design

The tile-drained, corn-soybean rotation research field (15.6-ha) was located on a private farm 11-km south of Northfield, Minnesota (44°21’37.2”N, 93°12’14.8”W). The predominant underlying soil is a Prinsburg silty clay loam (Typic Endoaquolls, USDA Classification) overlying a loam. Measurements were made during the corn (Zea mays, L.) phase in 2014 on DOY 126, 134, 150, 156, 161, and 168. The field was strip-tilled prior to planting and fertilized with 32% urea ammonium sulfate (UAS) on DOY 125 at a rate of 140 kg N ha⁻¹.

A 3-m micrometeorological tower was installed on the west side of the field to measure air temperature (VP-4; Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA, USA). Observations were recorded with a data logger at 5-min intervals and averaged hourly (Model EM50; Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA, USA).

All soil and chamber sample locations were georeferenced using a GPS device (GeoXH; Trimble, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) connected to a Mi-Fi mobile hotspot (model 2200; Verizon Wireless, Wallingford, CT, USA) that boosted the horizontal accuracy to 0.1 m. Spatial data were analyzed using ArcMap (ArcGIS v.10.2; ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA, USA).

To capture the effects of terrain on N₂O emissions and to ensure potential hotspots were included in the measurement campaign, a Wetland Probability Index (WPI) map was created (ArcGIS v.10.2; ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA, USA) to guide the experimental design. The WPI is a regression function of four factors: the presence of hydric soils, slope, profile curvature, and a compound topographic index (CTI) that is a function of flow accumulation and slope. The WPI provides a relative metric to describe the likelihood that water will pond at a specific location and has been used to identify areas for efficient wetland reclamation (Wan et al., 2014). The WPI was chosen rather than the widely used soil wetness index (SWI), because the WPI incorporates drainage (hydric soils), a recognized shortcoming of the idealized SWI (Murphy et al., 2009). In the context of N₂O production, field locations with wetland terrain characteristics are likely to accumulate moisture and nutrients and are thus candidates for hotspot formation. These areas are likely to experience more frequent and prolonged periods of soil saturation than upland areas, in part because of low slopes and elevation. Using high-resolution (1-m) DEM data (Minnesota Geospatial Information Office) and soil survey information, each position on the landscape was assigned a relative WPI value of 0 to 1 (Wan et al., 2014).

Since the natural movement of soil moisture is not confined to the explicit 1-m WPI grid, the highest spatial resolution is not necessarily appropriate for direct comparison with a dependent variable (Sørensen and Seibert, 2007). For instance, contour cropping, crop residues, buffer strips and microtopography can affect the movement of moisture. To minimize these uncertainties, we have reduced the WPI resolution to 10-m (Anderson et al., 2015; Zhang and Montgomery, 1994) for direct comparison of N₂O emission measurements and surface characteristics. All other analyses used the high-resolution WPI data set.

Because terrain differences can influence emissions, a stratified sampling design based on WPI was used to characterize emission heterogeneity. Groups (n = 6) of chambers (n = 10) were installed in the field across a range of WPI values on each of the sample dates. Measurements were taken at approximately weekly intervals for 4-d immediately after fertilization (DOY 125). Previous experiments in this field indicate that N₂O fluxes are highest in the 20 to 50-d following fertilization (Baker et al., 2014; Fassbinder et al., 2013). Beyond this time frame, N₂O fluxes decline (Baker et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2016a) and as a result, the cumulative emission budget is most sensitive to loss during this brief period.
2.4. Geostatistical analyses

Interpolations of high-resolution N₂O flux and cumulative emission data were performed with ordinary cokriging (OC) in ArcMap (ArcGIS v.10.2; ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA, USA). Ordinary cokriging is a geostatistical approach that uses more frequently sampled secondary variables to improve prediction of the primary variable (Vauclin et al., 1983). This method is useful when the primary attribute is costly or logistically more difficult to sample than other correlated variables.

Mean N₂O fluxes and cumulative emissions were interpolated at a resolution of 1-m with OC using an omnidirectional “stable” model. Soil NO₃⁻ and θ were used as secondary variables in this OC model. The sill (variance), nugget (estimate of independent or micro-scale errors), and range (maximum distance of autocorrelation) of each model were estimated from the semivariogram. The model parameters were optimized for estimation of the range value and neighborhood weights were based on distance. Cross validation was accomplished iteratively by comparing the observation to the OC model predicted value. All inputs were log transformed prior to OC to meet the assumption of normality.

A Getis–Ord Gi* statistical test identified spatial clustering of high (hotspots) and low (coldspots) emissions at a 95% significance threshold (Ord and Getis, 2010). The field was partitioned into three emission classes based on their respective z-score significance using ArcMap (ArcGIS v.10.2; ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA, USA). Locations with statistically significant high and low z-scores are referred to as emission hotspots and coldspots, respectively. All other values, i.e. those with insignificant (p > 0.05) z-scores, are considered “intermediate” locations. A Kruskal–Wallis significance test (α = 0.05) was used to determine if there was a WPI difference among emission classes.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Meteorology and soil characteristics

Over the course of our measurement campaign, this field received 116.8 mm of precipitation and experienced a mean air temperature of 16.4 °C. Across all sampling dates, the mean (range) soil NO₃⁻ concentration and θ content were 20.5 (0–107) mg NO₃⁻ kg⁻¹ and 25% (12–50), respectively (Fig. 1). Reported NO₂⁻ concentration and θ content frequency distributions were positively skewed on each sample date (data not shown), indicating the potential for nutrient processing hotspots. Following fertilization on DOY 125, the daily mean soil NO₂⁻ concentrations increased until DOY 157, after which they started declining, likely as a result of a combination of leaching, denitrification, and crop uptake.

Analyses indicated that the WPI (10-m) was significantly (p < 0.05) correlated with θint and NO₃⁻ int observations (r² = 0.6 and r² = 0.14, respectively) (Fig. 2). These relationships provide evidence of terrain focusing, implicit in the WPI calculation (e.g., elevation, slope). Indeed, measurements of θint were significantly (p < 0.05) and negatively correlated with both elevation (r² = 0.6) and slope (r² = 0.4). Observations of NO₃⁻ int were not as tightly coupled to elevation (r² = 0.09) or slope (r² = 0.05) as θint, probably because of the complex N cycling dynamics (i.e. including both production and consumption of NO₂⁻) present in soils. Overall, the samples with the highest θint and NO₃⁻ int were observed in locations with the lowest slope and elevation (Fig. 2), suggesting that the soil factors necessary to sustain high denitrification fluxes can become concentrated in predictable areas. This finding provides further support that remote sensing techniques can offer important insights into field-scale N processing dynamics by identifying locations that have an elevated likelihood of moisture and nutrient accumulation (Anderson et al., 2015; Weintraub et al., 2014).

3.2. N₂O emissions

3.2.1. Temporal dynamics

Across all chambers and sampling dates, the mean (range) N₂O flux was 1.7 (1–32) mg N₂O–N m⁻² d⁻¹. The magnitude of N₂O fluxes ranged from 0.7 mg N₂O–N m⁻² d⁻¹ on DOY 126, within 24-h of...
fertilization, to a peak emission of 4.3 mg N₂O-N m⁻² d⁻¹ on DOY 157, and decreased to a value of 1.8 mg N₂O-N m⁻² d⁻¹ on DOY 168 (Fig. 1). Over the sample period, the average N₂O flux was positively correlated ($r^2 = 0.06; p < 0.05$) to WPI (10-m), indicative of a terrain signal.

Indeed, elevation ($r^2 = 0.12; p < 0.01$) and slope ($r^2 = 0.04; p = 0.06$) correlated with average N₂O fluxes (Fig. 3).

These hourly mean flux density observations are comparable in strength to a previous study that used 6 automated chambers to estimate the annual N₂O budget at this field site during the 2010 corn phase (Fassbinder et al., 2013). Those investigators determined that N₂O emissions were elevated for 20 to 50 days after fertilization, but then losses declined precipitously and the average hourly standard deviation fell 14-fold, suggesting relatively low temporal measurement uncertainty beyond this brief period (Fassbinder et al., 2013).

### 3.2.2. Spatial dynamics

Trapezoidal integration of chamber data indicated that the mean (SD) cumulative N₂O emission was 69 (61) mg N₂O-N m⁻² during the 42-d sampling period. We calculated a field-scale CV of 88% from the cumulative chamber data, which is lower than some investigations (Ambus and Christensen, 1995; Ball et al., 1997; Folorunso and Rolston, 1984; Jones et al., 2011; Molodovskaya et al., 2011) and significantly higher than others (Christensen et al., 1996). The reason for our comparatively low CV may have been because our sample size ($n = 60$) was relatively large compared to those previous studies and better captured the spatial heterogeneity. Contrary to our hypothesis, there was not a significant relationship ($r^2 = 0.03; p = 0.1$), between the WPI (10-m) and cumulative N₂O emissions (Fig. 3). The low $r^2$ was probably the result of other factors that influence emissions but were not explicitly included in our model, e.g., pH, gas diffusivity, and sources of N₂O other than denitrification including nitrification (Kool et al., 2011; Venterea et al., 2015). Similarly, slope ($r^2 = 0.04; p = 0.08$) was not a significant correlate with N₂O emissions either (Fig. 3). However, elevation ($r^2 = 0.09; p < 0.05$) exhibited a negative, although weak relation to N₂O emissions (Fig. 3), indicating that topographic position can affect N₂O production.
Based on a priori hypotheses, $\theta_{\text{int}}$ and NO$_3^-$$_{\text{int}}$ were included as secondary OC variables to improve the average N$_2$O flux and cumulative emission model prediction ($n = 156,190$). Cross-validation of cumulative emissions determined that an omnidirectional stable model was appropriate. Stable model semivariograms indicate that the sill, nugget, and range of the average N$_2$O flux were 0.59 mg N$_2$O N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, 0.37 mg N$_2$O N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, and 244-m, respectively, while the sill, nugget, and range of the cumulative emissions were 0.7 mg N$_2$O N $m^{-2}$, 0.43 mg N$_2$O N$^2$ m$^{-2}$, and 320-m, respectively (data not shown). As a measure of variance, the sill provides a field-scale estimate of spatial uncertainty, while the nugget is an estimate of either measurement uncertainty or microscale variation (Yanai et al., 2003). Here, a large nugget effect suggests large uncertainty at fine-scales. Observations taken from points separated by a distance greater than the range are no longer spatially autocorrelated, a point identified in the semivariogram where variance becomes asymptotic (i.e. the sill).

During the measurement period, the field emitted on average (SD) 1.4 (0.6) mg N$_2$O N m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, with a 43% CV, more than half that of the original chamber data (Fig. 4). Cumulatively, the OC model predicted that 8.7 kg N$_2$O-N were emitted over the 42-d measurement (Fig. 3). This translates to a field-scale mean (SD) cumulative flux of 55.4 (22) mg N$_2$O-N m$^{-2}$. The emission distribution was positively skewed, indicating that the data set was likely affected by hotspots. Because soil samples were not taken at each individual chamber, OC interpolations of NO$_3^-$$_{\text{int}}$ and $\theta_{\text{int}}$ at each chamber were extracted to assess their relationships with cumulative N$_2$O emissions. These data show that

![Fig. 3. Plotted relationships between mean N$_2$O fluxes (a, b, and c) and cumulative N$_2$O emissions (d, e, and f) over the measurement period against topographic indices ($n = 60$). Lines of linear best fit (red lines) for each graph are included. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)

![Fig. 4. The Wetland Probability Index (WPI) overlain with chamber measurements (blue circles) and soil samples (red diamonds). Results are presented from the N$_2$O ordinary cokriging model, which interpolated mean flux density and cumulative emissions at a 1-m spatial resolution. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were significantly \((p < 0.01)\) correlated with NO\textsubscript{3} int \(\left(r^2 = 0.25\right)\) and \(\theta_{\text{int}}\) \(\left(r^2 = 0.18\right)\) predictions (Fig. 5).

Using a Getis Ord Gi* statistical analysis, locations in this field were partitioned into three classes based on their emission strength within the context of neighboring values (Ord and Getis, 2010). These analyses identified significant clustering of high and low N\textsubscript{2}O emissions, characteristic of hotspots and coldspots, respectively (Fig. 6). The mean (SD) flux from hotspots, intermediate, and coldspot locations was 2.5 (0.4) mg N\textsubscript{2}O—N m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}, 1.3 (0.3) mg N\textsubscript{2}O—N m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}, and 0.8 (0.05) mg N\textsubscript{2}O—N m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. Cumulatively, 3.1 kg N\textsubscript{2}O—N, 4.6 kg N\textsubscript{2}O—N, and 0.9 kg N\textsubscript{2}O—N were emitted from hotspots, intermediate, and coldspot locations, respectively.

These analyses indicate that a disproportionate share of the field-scale emission budget can be attributed to hotspot locations. Here, hotspots were responsible for 36% of the cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emission budget despite occupying only 21% of the field, while coldspots emitted 11% of the cumulative budget from a comparable area (18%). The remaining N\textsubscript{2}O emissions (53%) were lost from intermediate locations, largely because 61% of the land surface fell under this emission class. However, the area of a field that qualifies as a hotspot could fluctuate based on meteorology — for instance, a particularly wet spring could increase the surface area of locations that experience prolonged and high moisture exposure. Kruskal-Wallis tests showed that the WPI observed in hotspot locations was greater than the WPI values typically found in the other emission classes, in part because these locations had low slopes and elevation. A Kruskal-Wallis test revealed that hotspots had statistically different slopes (mean = 1.6%) and elevation (mean = 327.8-m) than intermediate (mean = 33.3%; 331.4-m) or coldspot (mean = 3.7%; 331.1-m) locations (data not shown).

Consequently, the general locations of hotspots were largely static, likely because of the strong relation with topographic indices, including elevation and slope. The positive relations identified here indicate that topography, via its controls on nutrient and moisture distributions, can help guide N\textsubscript{2}O management practices. Towards this end, the disproportionate strength and stability of N\textsubscript{2}O hotspots indicates that targeted management of these sources could efficiently reduce total emissions. For instance, if the average hotspot flux density were reduced from 2.5 mg N\textsubscript{2}O—N m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1} to 1.3 (0.3) mg N\textsubscript{2}O—N m\textsuperscript{-2} d\textsuperscript{-1}, 1, a magnitude that is more in step with intermediate areas, the mean field-scale flux density over the entire measurement period could be reduced by up to 14% (10–15). By reducing the cumulative hotspot emissions to 49 (10.4) mg N\textsubscript{2}O—N m\textsuperscript{-2}, the rate observed in intermediate areas, the field-scale budget could be reduced by as much as 17% (12–22). The removal of hotspots through native wetland reclamation could reduce emissions by as much as 36%. Given the strong radiative forcing and other side effects of N\textsubscript{2}O, these findings deserve serious consideration, especially if the distribution of potential hotspots is similarly predictable throughout the U.S. Corn Belt.

From a management perspective, emission hotspots were generally collocated with NO\textsubscript{3} int–hotspots. Consequently, variable rate nitrogen application (VRNA), a recent advancement in precision agriculture, could prove an effective tool to address N\textsubscript{2}O hotspots. This technology translates crop reflectance readings into fine-scale fertilizer decisions and has been shown to increase yield and income while providing promising N uptake results (Scafari et al., 2011). Better soil N management overall will potentially reduce N\textsubscript{2}O emissions; however, the one-size-fits-all approach to fertilizer application may be a contributing factor to hotspots that VRNA can overcome. For instance, because low-lying areas accumulate surplus NO\textsubscript{3} from upland areas and may not require additional N, VRNA can limit over application. Further, easing the NO\textsubscript{3} surplus in upland areas using fine-scale fertilizer decisions could help mitigate the effects of terrain focusing. Alternatively, the selective application of enhanced efficiency N fertilizers (EENFs), which show promising N\textsubscript{2}O mitigation results (Halvorson et al., 2014), to hotspots via VRNA could minimize their strength. However, extrapolating the effect EENFs may have on hotspots here becomes difficult since EENF results are often specific to soil type and climate.

These data also showed that N\textsubscript{2}O and \(\theta_{\text{int}}\) hotspots were collocated, suggesting that N\textsubscript{2}O hotspots are most likely to require subsurface drainage to ensure crop success. If conservation wetlands or VRNA were capable of reducing NO\textsubscript{3} losses in tile drainage, either by improving N uptake for target and non-target species (i.e., wetland plants) or by reduced subsurface drainage overall, indirect emissions downstream could also be mitigated (Turner et al., 2016b). A reduction of offsite nitrate losses, like those from leaching and runoff, would be an important benefit because these can be disproportionately strong sources of N\textsubscript{2}O, especially in the Corn Belt (Chen et al., 2016; Griffis et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2015). However, little is known about emissions from conservation wetlands or the ultimate N\textsubscript{2}O effect from VRNA.

Since our data set only includes six sample dates, these analyses may not include episodic emissions and therefore likely represent a conservative estimate. To resolve this uncertainty, automated soil chambers are an effective means for taking high frequency measurements (Baker et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2016a). However, sampling constraints (e.g., cost, tube length, and on-site power) tether chambers to a central point, limiting their ability to capture the spatial distribution of emissions observed here. Therefore, the potential for terrain artifacts to bias measurements must be considered when selecting chamber placement. For instance, past work in this field explored various N\textsubscript{2}O treatment effects using an automated chamber system (Baker et al., 2014; Fassbinder et al., 2013). Although, those experiments were situated in a location that our analyses has classified as an intermediate strength

![Fig. 5](image-url). The relationship between cumulative N\textsubscript{2}O emissions and the soil characteristics, NO\textsubscript{3} int and \(\theta_{\text{int}}\). A linear line of best fit is included (red). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
emitter, they highlight the risks of automated chamber clusters in hotspot or coldspot regions.

4. Conclusion

Our data and analyses have shown that LiDAR DEMs and geospatial techniques can be valuable tools to resolve hotspots and model fine-scale N₂O emissions. Here, hotspots were disproportionately strong sources, responsible for more than a third of the cumulative emissions. Because hotspots are reliant on terrain focusing for nutrients and moisture, they are relatively static features. Consequently, their regularity and predictability should facilitate targeted management practices that could reduce field-scale emissions by as much as 17%.

(For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
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